

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

OFF-WHITE LLC,

Plaintiff,

-against-

1000PERCENT1000, GOOD-MORNING-  
STORE, HONGSHIZIT603,  
JINBOYANC380\_5, LIKUAN01,  
LITIA905793, LITIAN-24, LIULIPINGQ,  
LSMSUMI777, OFF44EVER, SJBNSJ,  
TIAN TIANY86, WENJING1,  
YEEZYANDME, YQC262511\_0 and  
ZHONGH\_55,

Defendants.

21cv03626 (PAE) (DF)

**SCHEDULING ORDER  
FOR A DAMAGES INQUEST**

**DEBRA FREEMAN, United States Magistrate Judge:**

On November 8, 2021, the Honorable Paul A. Engelmayer, U.S.D.J., referred this case to this Court for the purpose of conducting an inquest, with respect to the damages that should be awarded to plaintiff Off-White LLC (“Plaintiff”) upon the default of defendants

1000percent1000, good-morning-store, hongshizit603, jinboyanc380\_5, likuan01, litia905793, litian-24, liulipingq, lsmsumi777, off44ever, sjbnsj, tiantiany86, wenjing1, yeezyandme, yqc262511\_0 and zhongh\_55 (collectively, the “Defaulting Defendants”). (See Dkt. 36

(Amended Order of Reference); *see also* Dkt. 29 (Motion for Default Judgment); Dkt. 33, at n.1 (Order to Show Cause (listing the Defaulting Defendants).) Accordingly, it is hereby

ORDERED that:

1. No later than January 5, 2022, Plaintiff shall serve on the Defaulting Defendants and file Proposed Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law concerning damages (“Proposed Findings”). Plaintiff shall include, with such service, a copy of this Order.

2. Plaintiff's Proposed Findings should specifically tie the proposed damages figures to the legal claims on which liability has now been established; should demonstrate how Plaintiff has arrived at the proposed damages figures; and should be supported by a sworn affidavit, or a declaration under penalty of perjury, that attaches as exhibits and contains an explanation of any documentary evidence that helps establish the proposed damages.

3. Further, to the extent that Plaintiff seeks attorneys' fees and litigation costs, Plaintiff's submissions should not only set out the legal basis for such an award, but should also:

a. provide copies of Plaintiff's attorneys' contemporaneous time records, so that this Court may assess whether the requested fees are reasonable, *see New York Ass'n for Retarded Children, Inc. v. Carey*, 711 F.2d 1136, 1148 (2d Cir. 1983);

b. address the reasonableness of the hourly rates of the attorneys working on the matter and their support staff, *see Arbor Hill Concerned Citizens Neighborhood Ass'n v. Cnty. of Albany*, 522 F.3d 182, 190 (2d Cir. 2008); and

c. provide copies of invoices or other documentation substantiating the amount of costs that have been incurred.

4. The Defaulting Defendants shall submit a response, if any, to Plaintiff's submission no later than February 4, 2022. The Defaulting Defendants are cautioned, however, that a corporate entity may not appear in this Court without an attorney and therefore any response that any such entity seeks to file, including any request for a hearing on damages, *must be made through counsel* for that response to be considered by the Court.

5. IF THE DEFAULTING DEFENDANTS FAIL TO RESPOND TO PLAINTIFF'S SUBMISSIONS BY February 4, 2022, THEN THIS COURT WILL PROCEED TO ISSUE A REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION CONCERNING DAMAGES ON THE

BASIS OF PLAINTIFF’S WRITTEN SUBMISSION ALONE. FURTHER, THIS COURT WILL NOT HOLD A HEARING ON DAMAGES, UNLESS THE DEFAULTING DEFENDANTS REQUEST A HEARING, IN WRITING, BY FEBRUARY 4, 2022. *See Action S.A. v. Marc Rich & Co.*, 951 F.2d 504, 508 (2d Cir. 1991) (Fed. R. Civ. P. 55(b)(2) “allows but does not require . . . a hearing”); *Fustok v. ContiCommodity Servs. Inc.*, 873 F.2d 38, 40 (2d Cir. 1989) (“[I]t [is] not necessary for the District Court to hold a hearing, as long as it ensured that there was a basis for the damages specified in a default judgment.”).

6. As noted in the applicable Order of Reference, the parties should also be aware that Judge Engelmayer has previously approved a report and recommendation written in what appears to be a substantially similar case. (*See* Dkt. 36; *see also Off-White LLC v. ALI Jr. et al.*, No. 19cv1775 (PAE) (SN), Dkts. 59-60.)

Dated: New York, New York  
December 6, 2021

SO ORDERED



---

DEBRA FREEMAN  
United States District Judge

Copies to:

Plaintiff’s counsel (via ECF)